

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/963,674	OHMORI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	D. S. Nakarani	1773	

All Participants:

(1) D. S. Nakarani.

(2) Daniel A. Geselowitz, Ph. D., Attorney.

Status of Application: Amended

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Obviousness-type double patenting.

Claims discussed:

1, 3-10 and 13-15.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 3/15/05
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Terminal Disclaimer filed December 16, 2004 listing incorrect patent number 4,668,283 over which present application is being disclaimed. Submission of Terminal Disclaimer listing correct patent number 6,638,367 B2 to overcome obviousness-type double patenting. .


D. S. NAKARANI
PRIMARY EXAMINER